







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				:
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,089	06/09/2000	John C. Ford	8064.002US0	2891
22434 75	90 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BERREELI, CA 34704-0776			3736	17
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7							
7:		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		09/591,089	FORD, JOHN C.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian Szmal	3736				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	vith the corresp ndence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{19}$	<u>9 November 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖾	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-52</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)□ A si 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome afterence was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies no estic priority under 35 U.S.C e first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲 Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-3, 10 and 54 are objected to because of the following informalities: In order to prevent a rejection based on an intended use of an element, the following changes should be undertaken by the Applicant: The phrase, "means for determining position" in Claims 1-3, 10 and 54 should read as "means determining a position". The phrase, "dose calculating means for calculating" in Claims 1-3, 10 and 54 should read as "dose calculating means calculating". The phrase, "display means for providing" in Claims 10 and 54 should read as "display means providing". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10, 11, 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 10, 11 and 54 recite the limitation "said earlier obtained patient's anatomical data" in lines 14-15, line 3, and lines 14-15 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 53 recites the limitation "said reference point position data" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see Paper No. 16, filed November 19, 2003, with respect to claims 1, 5-8, 10, 12, 14, 16-46 and 50-52 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Cheng et al has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 12-52 are currently allowable since no prior art could be found teaching or suggesting a method or apparatus for brachytherapy comprising: determining the space-position of the deposited seed with reference to a space-fixed coordinate system, per claims 12 and 13; and determining a position of a seed within a patient body with reference to a space-fixed coordinate system, per claims 23, 32, 41 and 47. Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 53 and 54 will be allowable once the above objections and rejections are overcome.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Szmal whose telephone number is (703) 308-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, with second Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-2701. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

BS BS

STANDER COMMEN